

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/004,617	10/27/2001		Bedros Hanounik	8183	
75	90	04/20/2004	•	EXAM	INER
Bedros Hanounik				MAI, T	AN V

Bedros Hanounik Apt. 5308 19608 Pruneridge Ave Cupertino, CA 95014

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2124
DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

APR 2 7 2004

Technology Center 2100

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
		10/004,617	HANOUNIK, BEDI	ROŞ .
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_		Tan V Mai	2124	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with th correspondenc ad	dress
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	2 February 2002.		•
2a) <u> </u>	Γhis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Τ	his action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 0	D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	**
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4) <b>×</b> (	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5)□ (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			٠
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		*
	he drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is		objected to by the Examir	ner.
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attact	ned Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Pri rity un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 H.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight phoney and or or old-	3 1 10(a) (a) or (i).	
1	. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2	Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.	
	Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage
	application from the International Bur			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.	
•				•
Attachment(s	•			
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · ·
	or Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application (PTO	)-152)
	No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _		<del>.</del> .

Application/Control Number: 10/004,617

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology is used in this paragraph (i.e., "comprising" (line 3)). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Claims 1-10 rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

As per **independent claim 1**, the "m" and "n" should be defined. The terms "the matrix" (line 3), "the original matrix" (line 4) lacks antecedent bases. The period "." at the end is missing.

As per **dependent claim 2**, the claim language is indefinite because "dependent claim 2 recites <u>different steps</u> as shown in Fig. 6B. Dependent claim 2 should be rewritten in independent form.

As per **independent claim 3**, the "m" and "n" should be defined. The terms "the matrix" (line 3), "the original matrix" (line 4) lacks antecedent bases. The period "." at the end is missing.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,617

Art Unit: 2124

As per **dependent claims 4-6**, the terms "and" in "claims 1, 2 and 3" are unacceptable multiple dependent claim wording. Also, see next paragraph. The terms "may be" are indefinite.

As per **dependent claim 7**, the term "and" in "claims 1 and 2" is unacceptable multiple dependent claim wording. Also, see next paragraph. The terms "can be" (line 1), "can process" (line 8) are indefinite. Although methods as described in Fig. 6A and 6B "can be used together back to back in a pipelined fashion" (claim 7, lines 1-2), claim 1 and claim 2 should be independent claims. Therefore, claim 7 can NOT dependent on BOTH claims [1 & 2].

As per **dependent claim 8**, the claim language is indefinite because claim 8 can NOT dependent on BOTH claims [1 & 2].

As per **independent claim 9**, the claim language is vague and indefinite. For instance, although the preamble of independent claim 9 claims "a set of registers ... have access to...functions", the claims fail to recite the necessary detail physical structures to perform the recited function(s) nor are there any recitation describing how such an "apparatus" (or a set of registers) is actually provided in the "apparatus". Sufficient detail apparatus or a set of registers must be recited to adequately describe and constitute the proposed "a set of registers ... have access to...functions". The period "." at the end is missing.

3. Claims 4-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Art Unit: 2124

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

### 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite a method for manipulating data elements in transposing an array of m rows. The claimed invention comprises a plurality of mental steps whereby the claimed mental steps are non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the claimed method steps can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper.

However, in order for such a claimed computer-related process to be statutory, the method claims must include either a step that results: (1) in a physical transformation outside the computer, (2) in a limitation to a practical application, or (3) performed specific machine/element(s). Accordingly, claims 1-8 are clearly directed to a non-statutory process.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 6. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/004,617

Art Unit: 2124

Page 5

this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

# Notic of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/004,617 Examiner Tan V Mai Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HANOUNIK, BEDROS Art Unit Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*	-	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,105,114	08-2000	Okuno, Tomohisa	708/402
	В	US-6,353,633	03-2002	Her, Won Jun	375/240.18
	С	US-5,757,432	05-1998	Dulong et al.	348/384.1
	D	US-6,021,420	02-2000	Takamuki, Hideharu	708/401
	E	US-5,815,421	09-1998	Dulong et al.	708/490
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N	,				
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					*3
	S					
	Т					

## **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		NOT ALL DOUBLETO
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	х	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

